

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,942	01/27/2004	Haoxing Ren	FIS920030335US1	1941
32074	7590 09/21/2005		EXAM	INER
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			WHITMORE, STACY	
DEPT. 18G	100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG. 300-482 2070 ROUTE 52			L	TALERITOMBER
			2825	
HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/707,942	REN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stacy A. Whitmore	2825
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wil	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re unication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) file 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice 	2b)⊠ This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar 5) Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-7,10 and 15 is/are rejection of the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the above claim(s) are subject to restrict of the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the above claim(s) are subject to by the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specification is objected to by the specification of the specificatio	re withdrawn from consideration. ected. ected to. tion and/or election requirement. e Examiner. 004 is/are: a) accepted or b) of other of the drawing(s) be held in abeyone the correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received in Apof the priority documents have been hall Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F	ΓΟ-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/707,942

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should be on a single sheet and does not require the title to be on the abstract sheet. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 5, 7, 10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cheng (US Patent 5,798,936).
- 3. As for claims 1-2, 5, 7, 10, and 15, Cheng discloses the invention as claimed, including a method (medium comprising instructions) for optimizing the placement of a plurality of cells on a VLSI chip comprising the steps of:

(note that for claim 15, the limitations in the preamble related to static timing analysis, and global sources of delay variation are not given patentable weight because the limitations are not part of the limitations in the body of the claim);

Subdividing the plurality of cells into partitions by performing a sequence of cuts [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 53-55];

Iteratively managing the sequence of cuts to perform a look ahead operation [col. 3, lines 55-58];

Art Unit: 2825

Returning to the cut from where the look ahead operation was initiated by comparing the original placement with the cut provided by the look ahead operation [fig. 1, elements 16-20, loop back the cuts; col. 3, lines 55-56] and

Altering the priority of the placement to ensure that the quality of the results achieved at the look ahead point is improved [col. 3, lines 51-58 – the priority of the placement is altered or reiterated in order to improve on the min-cut placement];

Performing a cost function analysis to quantify the quality of the placement [col. 3, line 64 – col. 4 – shows the cost analyses based on vertical and horizontal methods]; Optimizing the quality of the placement [col. 3, line 64, col. 5 – shows the quality of the placement is optimized through routing resources and congestion]; and Generating placement directives to force the placement to move in a direction specified by the optimization [col. 5, lines 18-26];

Wherein multiple optimizations are part of a single placement flow wherein a single optimization has the same or different degrees of look ahead [col. 3, line 48 – col. 5 – multiple optimizations are the congestion, routing resource, and directional]; Wherein the optimization function identifies specific improvements hat are required by the placement [col. 3, line 48 – col. 5 specific improvements identified are congestion, routing resources and direction];

Wherein the directives drive the placement process in a direction indicated by specific optimizations [col. 5, lines 18-35];

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,942 Page 4

Art Unit: 2825

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng (US Patent 5,798,936) in view of Dangelo (US Patent 6,324,678).

5. As for claim 6, Cheng discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a method for optimizing the placement of a plurality of cells on a VLSI chip including a cost function with congestion see as cited in the rejection of claims 1-2, 5, 7, 10, and 15 above.

Cheng does not specifically disclose timing included in the cost function.

Dangelo discloses timing costs in a partitioning design [col. 35, line 41 – col. 36, line 9].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made o combine the teachings of Cheng and Dangelo because adding timing considerations to Cheng's cost function would have improved Chang's method by adding a parameter that would improve overall circuit performance by at least considering timing due to movement of placements in Cheng's design method [see Dangelo, col. 35, line 41 – col. 36, line 9].

- 6. Claims 3-4, 8-9, 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 13-14 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to disclose the invention as claimed, either singularly

Art Unit: 2825

or in combination including at least [claims 3-4], the step of wherein the selection of look ahead points varies in accordance with the level of optimization required; [claims 8-9], wherein the optimization function for timing comprises logic restructuring, repowering, and buffer insertion; and [claims 13-14] steps b1-b3 of claims 13-14 in the context of all other limitations in the claims.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy A. Whitmore whose telephone number is (571) 272-1685. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, alternate Friday 6:30am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stacy A Whitmore

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2825

SAW

September 19, 2005